## Orientations of low-energy domain walls in perovskites with oxygen octahedral tilts

Fei Xue, Yijia Gu, Linyun Liang, Yi Wang, and Long-Qing Chen

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, USA

(Received 18 July 2014; revised manuscript received 6 November 2014; published 1 December 2014)

Many applications of ferroic materials, such as data storage and spintronics, are achieved through the control and manipulation of their domain wall (DW) orientations and configurations. Here we propose a rotational compatibility condition to identify low-energy DWs in perovskites with oxygen octahedral tilt instability. It is derived from the strong DW energy anisotropy arising from the rigidity and corner-sharing feature of the octahedral network. We analyze quantitatively the DWs in SrTiO<sub>3</sub> and explain successfully the unusual ferroelectric DW width and energy in BiFeO<sub>3</sub>.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.90.220101

PACS number(s): 77.84.-s, 68.35.-p, 77.80.Dj, 81.30.-t

Perovskites  $(ABO_3)$  are one of the most studied families of functional materials that may exhibit a variety of interesting properties, including ferroelectric (FE), magnetic, catalytic, ion conducting, and superconducting with applications to energy storage and conversion devices [1,2]. The functional versatility of perovskites is partially due to their adaptable structure which can accommodate more than half of the elements from the periodic table in *A* or *B* sites [1]. A typical characteristic of the perovskite structure is the corner-sharing network of the rigid BO<sub>6</sub> oxygen octahedra which can rotate or tilt as a whole with almost no distortion of the O-B bonds [2]. More importantly, it is found that the oxygen octahedral tilts (OTs) are strongly coupled to the microscopic electronic, magnetic, and optical properties [3–5]. Domain walls (DWs) as the symmetry breaking elements of OTs are thus expected to give rise to new physical properties through coupling between OTs and other order parameters, which in turn may alter the overall macroscopic responses of a material. It is suggested that these functional DWs may potentially be used as new elements for nanoelectronics [6].

The orientations of permissible (low-energy) DWs are conventionally determined using two conditions: One is the mechanical compatibility condition which requires matching of the atomic displacements of the two adjacent domains to minimize the strain energy [7]; the other is the electrical neutrality condition to minimize the electrostatic energy, e.g., in FE DWs arising from the bound charges. These two conditions are, however, insufficient to predict the orientations of the low-energy OT DWs. To overcome this difficulty, here we propose a third condition, "the rotational compatibility condition."

We first derive the rotational compatibility condition from the specific corner-connecting configuration of the oxygen octahedra in perovskites, inferring a universal and strong anisotropy in the OT DW energy. We apply the condition to ferroelastic SrTiO<sub>3</sub> (STO), and the anisotropic DW energies are calculated based on the Ginzburg-Landau-Devonshire (GLD) theory. We then investigate the interaction between the OTs and the FE polarization in multiferroic BiFeO<sub>3</sub> (BFO) and show that the anisotropy of the OT DW energies may drastically alter the relative stability of different types of FE DWs.

Let us start from the oxygen octahedral network of the perovskite structure and choose the Cartesian coordinate system along the crystallographic directions of the pseudocubic lattice. Due to the corner-sharing feature and rigidity of the oxygen octahedra, the rotation of one octahedron along the  $x_3$  direction requires the rest of the octahedra within the same layer  $(x_1-x_2 \text{ plane})$  to rotate accordingly, as shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). Thus, all the corner-connected octahedra rotate in opposite directions in an alternate pattern, leading to a unit-cell doubling. On the other hand, the oxygen octahedra in the adjacent layers are free to rotate in either the same or opposite pattern, which gives rise to the so-called in-phase tilt [Fig. 1(b)] or out-of-phase tilt [Fig. 1(a)], respectively. In an out-of-phase tilt, the unit cell is doubled along all three pseudocubic axes [8]. Since all the OTs can be decomposed into tilt components along the three pseudocubic axes due to the rigidity of the oxygen octahedra, a general OT pattern can thus be expressed using the Glazer notation [8]. In the following we will discuss the rotational compatibility condition using STO as an example.

The crystal structure of the tetragonal STO, Glazer notation  $a^{0}a^{0}c^{-}$ , has only one out-of-phase OT component along the  $x_3$  direction. The antiphase DWs in STO would normally be considered to be isotropic since both the mechanical compatibility and electrical neutrality conditions are not applicable. To demonstrate the effect of the rotational compatibility condition on DWs, we focus our discussion on two distinct types of antiphase DWs. The type-I DWs, as shown in Fig. 1(e), are perpendicular to  $x_3$ . Both neighboring domains produce a zero displacement of the shared oxygen atoms, resulting in almost no disruption in the oxygen positions. Therefore, they are very thin, and the two oxygen octahedral layers across the DWs show the in-phase tilt pattern. These types of DWs are also called "easy walls" with a small DW width and energy [9]. These DWs are analogous to the translational DWs [10], related to the unit-cell doubling induced by the OTs. The type-II DWs are sketched in Fig. 1(g). They are parallel to  $x_3$ , and the neighboring domains tend to displace the shared oxygen atoms at the DWs in opposite directions. To connect the two domains, the OTs near the DWs have to rotate relative to the two domains, i.e., the oxygen octahedra tilt around the  $x_1$  or  $x_2$  axis near the DW. As a result, the DWs have a much wider thickness with a significantly higher energy as compared to the type-I DWs. With the assumption that the rotations of OTs near a DW cost energy, we propose the rotational compatibility condition to determine the orientations of the low-energy OT DWs in perovskites (for proof, see the Supplemental Material



FIG. 1. (Color online) Green and blue diamonds represent oxygen octahedra rotated clockwise and counterclockwise, respectively, with the rotation axis along  $x_3$ . The balls inside the diamonds represent *B* atoms. Red and orange balls refer to oxygen and *A* atoms, respectively. (a) and (b) show the OT patterns in  $a^0a^0c^-$  and  $a^0a^0c^+$  phases. (c) and (d) demonstrate type-I walls in  $a^0a^0c^-$  and  $a^0a^0c^+$  phases. The dotted-dashed lines indicate the locations of DWs. Schematic plot of oxygen atom displacements in (e) type-I wall in  $a^0a^0c^-$ , (f) type-I wall in  $a^0a^0c^+$ , (g) type-II wall in  $a^0a^0c^-$ , and (h) type-I wall in  $a^0b^-b^-$ . Circles indicate oxygen atoms. Every shared oxygen atom at the DWs is artificially split into two virtual atoms (dotted circles) in (e)–(h), in order to more clearly show the displacements of the oxygen atoms in each domain. A cross or a dot in a circle represents an atom displacement of into or out of the plane of the page, respectively.

[11]),

$$(\theta_i - \theta'_i)n_j = 0 \quad (j \neq i), \text{ with } \theta_i = -\theta'_i \neq 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $\theta_i$  and  $\theta'_i$  are axial vectors and denote the OT components in the two neighboring domains, and **n** is the DW normal.

If the OTs only change the sign of *i*th component, i.e.,  $\theta_j = \theta'_j$   $(j \neq i)$ ,  $\theta_j = -\theta'_j \neq 0$  (j = i), from Eq. (1), we obtain  $n_j = 0$   $(j \neq i)$  and the value of  $n_i$  is arbitrary, i.e., the wall normal is along the  $x_i$  axis. The DW is rotationally compatible with respect to all the changed components, and we call

it a fully rotation-compatible DW, usually accompanied by the lowest DW energy in a system. Also, an antiphase DW with the sign changes of two or three OT components, i.e.,  $\theta_i = -\theta'_i \neq 0, \theta_j = -\theta'_j \neq 0$ , with  $j \neq i$ , with the wall normal along the  $x_i$  axis, is rotationally compatible with respect to the  $\theta_i$  component, but rotationally incompatible to other flipped components. We call it a partially rotation-compatible DW, with a higher energy than a fully rotation-compatible DW. The rotational compatibility condition does not apply to an OT

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 90, 220101(R) (2014)

component that changes to zero, i.e.,  $\theta_i \neq 0$ ,  $\theta'_i = 0$ . Beanland developed a mathematical framework to describe the effect of the corner-connectivity and symmetry operations, and proposed a method to predict all the symmetry-allowed DW structures [12]. Here Eq. (1) determines that among those allowed by symmetry, the DWs maintaining cornerconnectivity with reduced rotations of OTs near the DWs have lower energy. More detailed discussions about how Eq. (1) is related to Beanland's description as well as the mechanical compatibility condition are given in the Supplemental Material [11].

By applying the rotational compatibility condition, we can readily predict the orientations of the low-energy OT DWs. Examples of several popular perovskite structures with fully rotation-compatible DWs are listed in Table I. Relative to the Glazer notation for the two domains, there is a sign change for only one superscript for the local structure of a DW, either from + to -, or vice versa. In other words, one OT component changes from in-phase to out-of-phase at the DW, or vice versa. For example, at the low-energy DW of an  $a^0b^-b^-$  structure, shown in Fig. 1(h), the OTs of the two adjacent domains produce the same displacements for the shared oxygen atoms at the DW, and the local DW structure is  $a^0b^-c^+$ .

The anisotropy of the OT DWs in STO can be quantitatively analyzed within the GLD framework. For simplicity, the stress-free boundary condition is assumed, and the elastic energy contribution is neglected. The total free energy of the OT domains can then be written as the function of the OT order parameters,

$$F_{\rm OT} = \int \left( \beta_{ij} \theta_i \theta_j + \beta_{ijkl} \theta_i \theta_j \theta_k \theta_l + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{ijkl} \theta_{i,j} \theta_{k,l} \right) dV, \quad (2)$$

where V is the system volume,  $\beta_{ij}$  and  $\beta_{ijkl}$  are Landau coefficients,  $\kappa_{ijkl}$  are gradient energy coefficients, and a comma in the subscript stands for spatial differentiation. Assuming

TABLE I. Fully rotation-compatible DWs in some popular perovskites. In the notation,  $[\theta_i \theta_j \theta_k](n_i n_j n_k)[\theta'_i \theta'_j \theta'_k], [\theta_i \theta_j \theta_k]$ , and  $[\theta'_i \theta'_k \theta'_l]$  are the OT order parameters describing the OT patterns in the two neighboring domains across a DW, and  $(n_i n_j n_k)$  is the DW normal. An asterisk indicates an in-phase tilt (otherwise, out-of-phase tilt).

Space group of bulk phase (Glazer notation)	Low-energy DW (local Glazer notation)	Examples [8,31]
$\overline{P4/mbm(a^0a^0c^+)}$	$[001^*](001)[00\overline{1}^*](a^0a^0c^-)$	NaNbO <sub>3</sub>
$I4/mcm(a^0a^0c^-)$	$[001](001)[00\overline{1}](a^0a^0c^+)$	SrTiO <sub>3</sub>
$I4/mmm(a^0b^+b^+)$	$[01^*1^*](010)[0\bar{1}^*1^*](a^0b^-c^+)$	
$Imma(a^0b^-b^-)$	$[011](010)[0\bar{1}1](a^0b^+c^-)$	La <sub>0.7</sub> Ba <sub>0.3</sub> MnO <sub>3</sub>
$Im\bar{3}(a^+a^+a^+)$	$[1^*1^*1^*](100)[\overline{1}^*1^*1^*](a^-b^+b^+)$	Ca <sub>0.25</sub> Cu <sub>0.75</sub> MnO <sub>3</sub>
$R3c(a^{-}a^{-}a^{-})$	$[111](100)[\bar{1}11](a^+b^-b^-)$	BiFeO <sub>3</sub> ,PbZrO <sub>3</sub>
$Pnma(a^{-}a^{-}c^{+})$	$[111^*](001)[11\bar{1}^*](a^-a^-c^-)$	CaTiO <sub>3</sub> ,GdFeO <sub>3</sub>
	$[111^*](100)[\bar{1}11^*](a^+b^-c^+)$	



FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Magnitude of  $\kappa_{\text{eff}}$  along the direction  $(\omega, \eta)$  with  $x_3$  as the polar axis. The OT rotates around the  $x_3$  axis. (b) Magnitude of  $\kappa_{\text{eff}}$  in the  $x_1x_3$  plane. Also shown are the magnitudes of  $\kappa'_{11}$  and  $\kappa'_{44}$ , the gradient coefficients in the rotated coordinate system.

that the only active OT is along  $x_3$ , type-I DWs (wall normal along  $x_3$ ) should have a much smaller DW energy than that of type-II DWs (wall normal along  $x_2$ ), implying  $\kappa_{3333} = \kappa_{11} \ll \kappa_{3232} = \kappa_{44}$ . From experimental phonon dispersion data [9,13,14],  $\kappa_{11} = 0.28 \times 10^{10} \text{ J/m}^3$  and  $\kappa_{44} = 7.11 \times 10^{10} \text{ J/m}^3$ , which agrees quite well with our prediction.

To predict the DW energy anisotropy with an arbitrary orientation for the antiphase DWs in STO, we calculate the energies and widths of the DW with the normal  $(1, \omega, \eta)$  in a spherical coordinate system, where  $\eta$  is the polar angle between the normal and  $x_3$ . Assuming that no OT components along the  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  axes develop at the DW, we obtain the DW width  $\delta = 2\sqrt{-\frac{\kappa_{\text{eff}}}{\beta_1}}$ , and DW energy  $\gamma = \frac{2}{3\beta_{11}}\sqrt{-\beta_1^3\kappa_{\text{eff}}}$ , where the effective gradient energy coefficient  $\kappa_{\rm eff} = \kappa'_{2323} \sin^2 \eta +$  $\kappa'_{3333}\cos^2\eta$  in which  $\kappa'_{ijkl}$  is the gradient energy coefficient tensor in a rotated coordinate system (see the Supplemental Material [11]). The DW energy anisotropy depends only on  $\kappa_{\rm eff}$ , whose magnitude along the direction  $(\omega, \eta)$  is plotted as a function of the radial distance in Fig. 2(a). The nearly isotropic DW energy in the  $x_1x_2$  plane is due to the relation  $\kappa_{12} \sim -\kappa_{44}$ [9]. The maximum value corresponds to the DWs that have their normal lying within the  $x_1x_2$  plane. They correspond to the high-energy DWs, and a particular example is the type-II DWs discussed previously. In order to better visualize the  $\kappa_{\rm eff}$  surface, a cross section along the  $x_1 x_3$  plane is plotted in Fig. 2(b). The minimum of  $\kappa_{\rm eff}$  is realized when the wall normal is along the  $x_3$  axis, as expected. The calculated anisotropy in  $\kappa_{\rm eff}$  corresponds to the DW energy anisotropy, consistent with the predictions from the rotational compatibility condition. Therefore, the rotational compatibility condition originating from the atomistic characteristics of the OTs can be well modeled by the continuum GLD theory by considering the strong anisotropy of the gradient energy coefficients.

The OTs are usually not the sole order parameters that exist in perovskites, and they typically interact with other order parameters such as polarization, strain, and so on. To understand the influence of the OTs on the FE DW energy anisotropy, we consider BFO as an example.

To describe the OT and polarization DWs in BFO, we adopt the notation  $[P_i P_j P_k, \theta_i \theta_j \theta_k](n_i n_j n_k)[P'_i P'_j P'_k, \theta'_i \theta'_j \theta'_k]$ , where  $[P_i P_j P_k, \theta_i \theta_j \theta_k]$  and  $[P'_i P'_j P'_k, \theta'_i \theta'_j \theta'_k]$  are the polarization and OT components in two adjacent domains. In a given rhombohedral BFO domain, the polarization and out-of-phase OTs are along one of the eight body diagonal (111) pseudocubic directions [15]. For a particular polarization direction, there are two possible OTs, either parallel or antiparallel to the polarization. The two cases are illustrated with one-dimensional (1D) schematic drawings of a FE + OTDW and a pure FE DW in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), respectively. Therefore, the total number of domain variants is 16 rather than 8. With u and v labeling the numbers of how many components the polarization and OTs change sign across a DW, their relationship can be either u + v = 3 for an OT phase shift across the DW, or u = v for no phase shift [16]. Therefore, the possible pairs for values  $\{u, v\}$  are  $\{0, 3\}, \{1, 1\}, \{1, 2\}, \{2, 1\}, \{2, 1\}, \{2, 1\}, \{2, 1\}, \{2, 1\}, \{2, 1\}, \{2, 1\}, \{3, 2\}, \{3, 2\}, \{3, 2\}, \{3, 2\}, \{3, 2\}, \{3, 2\}, \{3, 2\}, \{3, 2\}, \{3, 2\}, \{3, 2\}, \{3, 3\}, \{3, 3\}, \{3, 3\}, \{3, 3\}, \{3, 3\}, \{3, 3\}, \{3, 3\}, \{3, 3\}, \{3, 3\}, \{3, 3\}, \{3, 3\}, \{3, 3\}, \{3, 3\}, \{3, 3\}, \{3, 3\}, \{3, 3\}, \{4, 3\}, \{3, 3$  $\{2,2\}, \{3,0\}, \text{ and } \{3,3\}. \{0,3\}$  corresponds to the antiphase OT DWs, and here we only analyze the DWs with low



FIG. 3. (Color online) (a), (b) 1D schematic plot of an (a) FE + OT DW (left:  $P_3 = 1$ ,  $\theta_3 = 1$ ; right:  $P_3 = -1$ ,  $\theta_3 = -1$ ), and (b) pure FE DW (left:  $P_3 = 1$ ,  $\theta_3 = 1$ ; right:  $P_3 = -1$ ,  $\theta_3 = 1$ ). OTs are represented by diamonds of different colors; a cross and a dot in a circle indicate polarization pointing into and out of the plane of the page, respectively. Plotted in (c)–(e) are order parameter profiles of (c)  $[\bar{1}11, \bar{1}11](100)[\bar{1}1\bar{1}, 111](109^\circ)$ , (d)  $[111, 111](1\bar{1}0)[\bar{1}1\bar{1}, 111](109^\circ)$ , and (e)  $[\bar{1}11, \bar{1}11](1\bar{1}0)[\bar{1}1\bar{1}, \bar{1}1\bar{1}](71^\circ)$  DWs.

TABLE II. DW energies  $(mJ/m^2)$  of the nine types of DWs in BFO. The angles after the DW notation measure the changes of the polarization vectors in the neighboring domains across a DW.

DW type	Dieguez et al. [16]	Present work
[111,111](100)[111,111](OT)	227	231
[111,111](110)[111,ĪĪĪ] (OT)	254	293
[111,111](110)[111,111] (OT)	293	290
$[\bar{1}\bar{1}1,\bar{1}\bar{1}1](1\bar{1}0)[\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1},\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}]$ (71°)	152	124
$[\bar{1}\bar{1}1,\bar{1}\bar{1}1](1\bar{1}0)[\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1},111]$ (71°)	178	161
$[\bar{1}11,\bar{1}11](100)[\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1},111] (109^{\circ})$	62	55
$[\bar{1}11,\bar{1}11](100)[\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1},\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}] (109^{\circ})$	319	259
$[111,111](1\overline{1}0)[\overline{1}\overline{1}\overline{1},111](180^{\circ})$	74	94
$[111,111](1\bar{1}0)[\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1},\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}](180^{\circ})$	255	381

Miller indices. For example, in  $[111, 111](n_i n_j n_k)[111, \overline{111}]$ ,  $(n_i n_j n_k)$  is (100), (110), or (110). (100) is partially rotationcompatible and should have the lowest DW energy among the three. To satisfy the mechanical compatibility and electrical neutrality conditions, the DW orientations of {1,1}, {1,2}, {2,1}, and {2,2} can be uniquely determined, and {3,0} and {3,3} DWs each have one low Miller index DW [17]. Therefore, based on the two conventional conditions, we have a total of nine possible types of DWs, as listed in Table II. Only the [ $\overline{111}, \overline{111}$ ](100)[ $\overline{111}, 111$ ] DW is fully rotation-compatible, and thus its DW energy should be the lowest among the nine.

To further investigate the consequence of order parameter coupling between the OTs and polarization on the DW energy anisotropy and the order parameter profiles across the DWs in BFO, we employ the GLD theory and phase-field method. We consider all the important energy contributions: bulk chemical energy, gradient energy, elastic energy, and electrostatic energy [18,19], i.e.,

$$F = \int_{V} \left[ \alpha_{ij} P_{i} P_{j} + \alpha_{ijkl} P_{i} P_{j} P_{k} P_{l} + \beta_{ij} \theta_{i} \theta_{j} + \beta_{ijkl} \theta_{i} \theta_{j} \theta_{k} \theta_{l} \right. \\ \left. + t_{ijkl} P_{i} P_{j} \theta_{k} \theta_{l} + \frac{1}{2} g_{ijkl} P_{i,j} P_{k,l} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_{ijkl} \theta_{i,j} \theta_{k,l} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} c_{ijkl} (\varepsilon_{ij} - \varepsilon_{ij}^{0}) (\varepsilon_{kl} - \varepsilon_{kl}^{0}) - \frac{1}{2} E_{i} P_{i} \right] dV,$$
(3)

where  $\alpha_{ij}$ ,  $\alpha_{ijkl}$  are the Landau coefficients of polarization,  $t_{ijkl}$  are coupling coefficients between the polarization,  $c_{ijkl}$ is the elastic stiffness tensor,  $\varepsilon_{ij}$  and  $\varepsilon_{kl}^0$  are the total strain and eigenstrain, respectively, and electric field  $E_i = -\varphi_i$  with  $\varphi$ the electrostatic potential. The eigenstrain is related to the polarization and OTs through  $\varepsilon_{ij}^0 = \lambda_{ijkl}\theta_k\theta_l + h_{ijkl}P_kP_l$ , where  $\lambda_{ijkl}$  and  $h_{ijkl}$  are coupling coefficients. All the coefficients can be found in the Supplemental Material [11]. It should be noted that the relation  $\kappa_{11} \ll \kappa_{44}$  is satisfied in describing the energy anisotropy of the OT DWs.

The order parameter profiles across the DWs between different pairs of domains and the corresponding DW energies are obtained by numerically solving the phase-field equations [20]. Periodic boundary conditions are imposed along all three dimensions. The system size is  $4096\Delta x \times 1\Delta x \times 1\Delta x$  and

the grid spacing is  $\Delta x = 0.031$  nm. The coordinate system is rotated if necessary to guarantee that the wall normal is always along the  $x_1$  direction. Due to the periodic boundary conditions, two DWs exist in the system, and the system size is chosen to be sufficiently large to avoid the interactions between the two walls.

The DW energies of the nine possible types of walls obtained from the phase-field simulations are given in Table II, and the first-principles calculation results are included for comparison [16]. The agreement from our phenomenological predictions and the first-principles is quite reasonable, considering the fact that there are numerical and systematic errors in both methods.

As predicted by the rotational compatibility condition, the  $[\bar{1}11,\bar{1}11](100)[\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1},111](109^\circ)$  DW has the lowest energy in Table II and the  $[111,111](100)[111,\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}]$  (OT) DW has a lower energy than the other two pure OT DWs. It is interesting to note that the two DWs were also predicted by Beanland [12]. The DWs with the second and third lowest energies are  $[111,111](1\bar{1}0)[\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1},111]$  (180°) and  $[\bar{1}\bar{1}1,\bar{1}\bar{1}1](1\bar{1}0)[\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1},\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}]$  (71°), respectively. The three DWs with the lowest energies were extensively studied using first-principles calculations [16,21-23] (the calculated DW energies from different groups are listed in Table SII in the Supplemental Material [11]).

To understand the origins of the relative magnitudes of the three lowest energies, we plot the order parameter profiles across the three DWs obtained from the phase-field simulations in Figs. 3(c)-3(e). They are qualitatively consistent with the first-principles calculations and experimental measurements [22]. It should be noted that the first-principles calculations were performed at 0 K, and the DW width is smaller than our values obtained at room temperature. As shown in Fig. 3(c), the  $[\bar{1}11, \bar{1}11](100)[\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}, 111]$  (109°) DW satisfies the rotational compatibility condition (also listed in Table I). The OT pattern at the DW is  $a^{-}a^{-}c^{+}$ , similar to that in *Pnma*, the space group of the high temperature BFO [14]. This DW structure was also observed in Ref. [16], and is believed to be related to the high conductivity at the DW [6]. The  $[\bar{1}\bar{1}1, \bar{1}\bar{1}1](1\bar{1}0)[\bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}, \bar{1}\bar{1}\bar{1}]$  $(71^{\circ})$  DW [Fig. 3(e)], however, does not satisfy the rotational compatibility condition since the wall normal is not along any pseudocubic axes. Therefore, the energy and width of the 71° DW are much larger than those of the 109° DW. Furthermore, as shown in Fig. 3(d),  $[111,111](1\overline{1}1)[\overline{1}\overline{1}\overline{1},111]$  (180°) is a pure FE DW without notable variations of the OT order parameters. Due to the relative contribution of variations of the polarization and OTs in the total free energy, the width and energy of the 180° DW are between those of the 71° and 109° DWs.

The analysis of the DW energies and order parameter profiles in BFO demonstrates the substantial contribution of the OTs to the DW energy anisotropy and DW thickness. Apparently, if the DW energies were dominated by the polarization gradient energy, the sequence of relative DW energies should be  $71^{\circ} < 109^{\circ} < 180^{\circ}$ , as in the rhombohedral BaTiO<sub>3</sub> [24,25]. Comparing the energies of the nine DWs in Table II, we found that if the OTs are parallel to the polarization, the sequence  $71^{\circ} < 109^{\circ} < 180^{\circ}$  is valid. However, as shown in Fig. 3(b), due to the unit-cell doubling, it is possible that the OTs are antiparallel to the polarization, which gives smaller DW energies in [ $\bar{1}11, \bar{1}11$ ](100)[ $\bar{1}1\bar{1}, 111$ ]

 $(109^{\circ})$  and  $[111,111](1\overline{10})[\overline{11}\overline{1},111]$  (180°). The DW energy sequence in BFO is thus changed to  $109^{\circ} < 180^{\circ} < 71^{\circ}$ . Earlier experiments in BFO thin films also support this unusual sequence, which showed that both the  $109^{\circ}$  and  $180^{\circ}$  DWs have lower DW energies than the  $71^{\circ}$  DWs [26–29]. Therefore, it is not sufficient to consider the polarization gradient alone to obtain the DW energy and its anisotropy in BFO.

To conclude, the specific corner-connecting feature of the oxygen octahedral network is shown to be responsible for the strong anisotropy of the domain wall (DW) energy in perovskites with oxygen octahedral tilt (OT) instability. It is demonstrated that there exist universal low-energy DWs, the orientations of which can be determined by the proposed rotational compatibility condition. This anisotropy can be described by the relation  $\kappa_{11} \ll \kappa_{44}$  in the gradient energy coefficient tensor within the framework of the Ginzburg-Landau-Devonshire theory. The proposed condition can serve

as a guide for DW engineering in perovskites, and predict the low-energy OT DWs and consequently polarization DWs in the hybrid improper ferroelectrics, such as some Ruddlesden-Popper phases [3,30].

We are grateful to B. VanLeeuwen for discussions of Beanland's description. This work is supported by the National Science Foundation MRSEC under Grants No. DMR-0820404 and No. DMR-1210588 (F.X. and Y.G.). Y.W.'s effort is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Sciences and Engineering under Award No. DE-FG02-07ER46417. The computer simulations were carried out on the LION and cyberstar clusters at the Pennsylvania State University, in part supported by instrumentation (cyberstar Linux cluster) funded by the National Science Foundation through Grant No. OCI-0821527.

- D. G. Schlom, L. Q. Chen, X. Pan, A. Schmehl, and M. A. Zurbuchen, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 91, 2429 (2008).
- [2] M. E. Lines and A. M. Glass, *Principles and Applications of Ferroelectrics and Related Materials* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1977).
- [3] J. M. Rondinelli and C. J. Fennie, Adv. Mater. 24, 1961 (2012).
- [4] W. Zhong and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 2587 (1995).
- [5] P. J. Ryan et al., Nat. Commun. 4, 1334 (2013).
- [6] G. Catalan, J. Seidel, R. Ramesh, and J. F. Scott, Rev. Mod. Phys. 84, 119 (2012).
- [7] J. Fousek and V. Janovec, J. Appl. Phys. 40, 135 (1969).
- [8] A. Glazer, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B 28, 3384 (1972).
- [9] A. K. Tagantsev, E. Courtens, and L. Arzel, Phys. Rev. B 64, 224107 (2001).
- [10] A. K. Tagantsev, L. E. Cross, and J. Fousek, *Domains in Ferroic Crystals and Thin Films* (Springer, Berlin, 2010).
- [11] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.90.220101 for proof of the rotational compatibility condition, relations between the rotational compatibility condition and mechanical compatibility condition as well as Beanland's description, solutions of the Euler-Lagrange Equation in a rotated coordinate system, coefficients of BiFeO<sub>3</sub> used in the phase-field simulation, and DW energies from the GLD theory and first-principles calculations.
- [12] R. Beanland, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 67, 191 (2011).
- [13] W. Cao and G. R. Barsch, Phys. Rev. B 41, 4334 (1990).
- [14] W. G. Stirling, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 5, 2711 (1972).
- [15] G. Catalan and J. F. Scott, Adv. Mater. 21, 2463 (2009).
- [16] O. Dieguez, P. Aguado-Puente, J. Junquera, and J. Iniguez, Phys. Rev. B 87, 024102 (2013).

- [17] P. Marton, I. Rychetsky, and J. Hlinka, Phys. Rev. B 81, 144125 (2010).
- [18] W. L. Zhong, Y. G. Wang, P. L. Zhang, and B. D. Qu, Phys. Rev. B 50, 698 (1994).
- [19] N. A. Pertsev, A. G. Zembilgotov, and A. K. Tagantsev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1988 (1998).
- [20] L. Chen and J. Shen, Comput. Phys. Commun. **108**, 147 (1998).
- [21] A. Lubk, S. Gemming, and N. A. Spaldin, Phys. Rev. B 80, 104110 (2009).
- [22] Y. Wang, C. Nelson, A. Melville, B. Winchester, S. Shang, Z.-K. Liu, D. G. Schlom, X. Pan, and L.-Q. Chen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 267601 (2013).
- [23] W. Ren, Y. Yang, O. Diéguez, J. Íñiguez, N. Choudhury, and L. Bellaiche, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 187601 (2013).
- [24] E. A. Eliseev, P. V. Yudin, S. V. Kalinin, N. Setter, A. K. Tagantsev, and A. N. Morozovska, Phys. Rev. B 87, 054111 (2013).
- [25] M. Taherinejad, D. Vanderbilt, P. Marton, V. Stepkova, and J. Hlinka, Phys. Rev. B 86, 155138 (2012).
- [26] C. W. Huang, L. Chen, J. Wang, Q. He, S. Y. Yang, Y. H. Chu, and R. Ramesh, Phys. Rev. B 80, 140101 (2009).
- [27] C. T. Nelson et al., Nano Lett. 11, 828 (2011).
- [28] F. Zavaliche, P. Shafer, R. Ramesh, M. Cruz, R. Das, D. Kim, and C. Eom, Appl. Phys. Lett. 87, 252902 (2005).
- [29] S. Baek et al., Nat. Mater. 9, 309 (2010).
- [30] N. A. Benedek and C. J. Fennie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 107204 (2011).
- [31] P. Radaelli, M. Marezio, H. Hwang, and S.-W. Cheong, J. Solid State Chem. 122, 444 (1996).